

Errata

Valery G. Romanovsky and Douglas S. Shafer
*The Center and Cyclicity Problems,
 A Computational Algebra Approach*

Every page reference in the index should be decreased by 2.

- p. 10** Line 3 of Remark 1.2.6, Definition 1.1.8 f , reduces should be Definition 1.1.8, f reduces
- p. 22** Line 3 of Theorem 1.3.5, \tilde{g}_i should be \tilde{g}_j
- p. 52** Exercise 1.9, first line, should read $f \neq 0$ and g
- p. 54** Table 1.10, input should read Polynomials $f, g \in k[x]$, $f \neq 0$
- p. 54** Exercise 1.29 $\langle \text{LT}(G) \setminus \{g\} \rangle$ should be $\langle \text{LT}(G \setminus \{g\}) \rangle$
- p. 60** Theorem 2.1.5(2) \mathbf{x}_0 should be $\mathbf{0}$ (two occurrences)
- p. 61** Last display, the expression of the form $\frac{\alpha y^4}{\beta}$ should be printed as $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} y^4$
- p. 67** Display (2.23), $\mathbf{h}^{(2)}$ should be $\mathbf{h}^{(3)}$
- p. 69** Line following (2.30), $i = j, \dots, N$ should be $i = 1, \dots, N$
- p. 97** First display, the second line is inconsistent with the system on the bottom of the previous page, and with the line that follows it.
- p. 106** Remark 3.2.8, in both lines of the display $H(y_1, y_2)$ should be $H(y_1 y_2)$
- p. 111** Display (3.55), the term v_{s_1, s_2} should be inside the large brackets with another pair of brackets surrounding the terms in the summation, thus:

$$g_{k_1, k_2} = i \left[(k_1 - k_2)v_{k_1, k_2} - \sum_{\substack{s_1 + s_2 = 0 \\ s_1, s_2 \geq -1}}^{k_1 + k_2 - 1} [(s_1 + 1)a_{k_1 - s_1, k_2 - s_2} - (s_2 + 1)b_{k_1 - s_1, k_2 - s_2}] v_{s_1, s_2} \right]$$

- p. 114** Display (3.60), on the right hand side $(x_1 y_1)^k$ should be $(x_1 y_1)^{k+1}$
- p. 172** In Exercise 3.30a, $f_5(x, y)$ should be
 $f_5(x, y) = 4 - 4x^2 + abx^4 - 4bxy + 2bx^3y + b^2x^2y^2$
- p. 210** Exercise 4.5, last sentence, $[\nu][\mu] = [\nu\mu]$ should be $[\nu][\mu] = [\nu + \mu]$

- p. 228** Second display, the \dot{x}' equation is missing a minus sign
- p. 245** Last line before the Exercises, [102, 150] should be [102, 151]
- p. 250** Line 16, α and β should each be λ
- p. 251** Fifth line after Definition 6.1.1 (referring to case (i)), at most m should be at most $m + 1$
- p. 252** First line following display (6.4), $j_u \in \mathbb{N}$ should be $j_u \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- p. 252** Line 9 of the proof of Proposition 6.1.2, $Z(z, \theta_0) = f_u(\theta_0)z^{j_u} + z^{j_u+1}g(z, \theta_0)$ should be $Z(z, \theta_0) = f_u(\theta_0)z^{j_u}(1 + \psi_u(z, \theta_0)) + z^{j_u+1}g(z, \theta_0)$
- p. 253** Corollary 6.1.3, less than or equal to m should be less than or equal to $m + 1$
- p. 255** Line 7 of Lemma 6.1.6, $\psi_{j_q}(0, \mathbf{0}) = 0$ should be $\psi_{j_q}(0, \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) = 0$
- p. 255** First line after display(6.7), $\langle \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \dots \rangle$ should be $\langle \mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \dots \rangle$
- p. 256** Display (6.10), replace $\sum_{j=0}^{j_m} \left(\sum_{q=1}^m h_{j,q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) f_{j_q}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right) z^j$ with $\sum_{j=0}^{j_m} f_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) z^j$
(The original is correct, but is misleading.)
- p. 256** Last display, same change as just above: replace the left hand side with $\sum_{j=0}^{j_m} f_j(\boldsymbol{\theta}) z^j$
(The original is correct, but is misleading.)
- p. 256** Seventh line from the bottom, $\tilde{\psi}(0, 0) = 0$ should be $\tilde{\psi}(0, \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) = 0$
- p. 263** Corollary 6.2.5: in the last four line of the corollary for every choice of (r, s) change \mathbf{g}_{rs} to $\mathbf{g}_{rs}^{\mathbb{R}}$
- p. 265** Line 2 of Lemma 6.2.8, Lypaunov should be Lyapunov
- p. 264** The first line in Theorem 6.2.7 should begin A simple fine focus ...
- p. 267** Line 3 of Theorem 6.2.9, m polynomials should be m elements
- p. 267** Eighth line from the bottom, $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_3, \boldsymbol{\eta}_5, \boldsymbol{\eta}_5, \dots \rangle$ should be $\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}_3, \boldsymbol{\eta}_5, \boldsymbol{\eta}_7, \dots \rangle$
- p. 267** Sixth and seventh lines from the bottom, By hypothesis (b) should be By hypothesis (c), which implies that $g_{kk} \in \langle g_{k_1, k_1}, \dots, g_{k_{q-1}, k_{q-1}} \rangle$,
- p. 267** Fifth and sixth lines from the bottom, $k_1 < k < k_q$, $\mathbf{g}_{kk}^{\mathbb{R}} \in \langle \mathbf{g}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{g}_{k_{q-1}, k_{q-1}} \rangle$ should be $k_{q-1} < k < k_q$, $\mathbf{g}_{kk}^{\mathbb{R}} \in \langle \mathbf{g}_{k_1, k_1}^{\mathbb{R}}, \dots, \mathbf{g}_{k_{q-1}, k_{q-1}}^{\mathbb{R}} \rangle$

- p. 268** First line of the proof of Corollary 6.2.10, $g_{kk}(a, \bar{a}) = g_{kk}^{\mathbb{R}}(A(a, \bar{b}), \bar{B}(a, \bar{b}))$ should be $g_{kk}(a, \bar{a}) = g_{kk}^{\mathbb{R}}(A(a, \bar{a}), B(a, \bar{a}))$
- p. 268** Ninth line from the bottom, $g_{kk} = f_1 g_{k_1, k_1} + \cdots + f_m g_{k_m, k_m}$ should be $g_{kk} = f_{k,1} g_{k_1, k_1} + \cdots + f_{k,m} g_{k_m, k_m}$
- p. 269** Proof of Proposition 6.3.1, alter the first sentence by inserting the phrase By Lemma 6.3.2 (whose proof does not use this proposition) a fine focus is simple, hence consider
- p. 273** Last display, the fraction in g_{33} should be $\frac{5}{4}$
- p. 273** Eleventh line from the bottom, choose $a_{10} = -2\bar{a}_{01}$ should be (c) choose $a_{10} = -2\bar{a}_{01}$
- p. 303** Exercise 6.20, appear should be appears
- p. 324** \tilde{H} is $-(i/2)H$ (the minus sign is missing)